A Readily Available Non-preorganized Neutral Acyclic Halide Receptor with an Unusual **Nonplanar Binding Conformation**

Konstantinos Kavallieratos, Susan R. de Gala, David J. Austin, and Robert H. Crabtree*

> Sterling Chemistry Laboratory Department of Chemistry, Yale University New Haven. Connecticut 06520-8107

> > Received November 20, 1996

Although cation recognition by organic molecules is wellknown,¹ anion recognition² has only recently attracted attention due to its biomedical³ and environmental⁴ significance. Acyclic synthetic anion receptors are either positively charged⁵ or contain Lewis acid centers.⁶ In acyclic positively charged receptors, selectivity is modest due to the dominance of nondirectional electrostatic interactions.^{7,8} Neutral Lewis acid and macrocyclic receptors have only limited synthetic flexibility for optimizing binding selectivity.8

Neutral hosts that bind exclusively through hydrogen bonding via pyrrole,⁹ urea,^{8,10} or amide groups¹¹ ameliorate these disadvantages. Hamilton et al.^{12–14} and Still et al.¹⁵ have reported isopthalamide receptors for binding nucleotide bases,12

1988, 27, 1021. (c) Cram, D. J.; Cram, J. M. Science 1974, 183, 803. (d) Top. Curr. Chem. 1981, 98; 1982, 101; 1984, 121.
(2) (a) Dietrich, B. Pure Appl. Chem. 1993, 65, 1457. (b) Seel, C.; Galan, A.; de Mendoza, J. Top. Curr. Chem. 1995, 175, 101. (c) Atwood, J. L.; Holman, K. T.; Steed, J. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996, 1401.
(d) Worm, K.; Schmidtchen, F. D.; Schier, A.; Schafer, A.; Hesse, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 327. (e) Bencini, A.; Bianchi, P.; Dapporto, E.; Garcia-España, M.; Micheloni, P.; Ramirez, J. A.; Paoletti, P.; Paoli, P. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 1902. (f) Yang, X.; Knobler, C. B.; Zheng, Z.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 7142. (g) Rudkevich, D. M.; Brzozka, Z.; Palys, M. J.; Visser, H. C.; Verboom, W.; Reinhoudt, D. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 467. (h) Beer, P. Reinhoudt, D. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1994**, 33, 467. (h) Beer, P. D.; Drew, M. G. B.; Hodacova, J.; Stokes, S. E. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1995**, 21, 3447. (i) Szemes, F.; Hesek, D.; Chen, Z.; Dent, S. W.; Drew, M. G. B.; Goulden, A. J.; Graydon, A. R.; Grieve, A.; Mortimer, R. J.; Wear, T.; Weightman, J. S.; Beer, P. D. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 5868.
(j) Czarnik, A. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 1994, 27, 302.
(3) (a) Lange, L. G., III; Riordon, J. F.; Vallee, B. L. Biochemistry 1974,

13, 4361. (b) Walter, T. J.; Braiman, M. S. Biochemistry 1994, 33, 1724. (c) Rosales, C.; Jones, S. L.; Mc Court, D.; Brown, E. J. Proc. Nat. Acad. *Sci U.S.A.* **1994**, *91*, 1269. (d) Varo, G.; Brown, L. S.; Needleman, R.; Lanyi, J. K. *Biochemistry* **1996**, *35*, 6604. (e) Schanstra, J. P.; Jannsen, D. B. Biochemistry 1996, 35, 5624.

(4) (a) Mason, C. F. Biology of Freshwater Pollution, 2nd ed.; Long man: New York, 1991. (b) Sfriso, A.; Pavoni, B. Environ. Technol. 1994, 15, 1. (c) Kubota, M. Radiochim. Acta 1993, 63, 91.

(5) (a) Schmidtchen, F. P. J. Org. Chem. **1986**, 51, 5161. (b) Hosseini, M. W.; Blacker, A. J.; Lehn, J.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 3896. (c) Kimura, E.; Sakonaka, A.; Yatsunami, T.; Kodama, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3041. (d) Dhaerens, M.; Lehn, J.-M.; Vigneron, J. P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1993, 1379. (e) Bencini, A.; Bianchi, A.; Burguete, M. I.; Dapporto, P.; Doménech, A.; Garcia-España, E.; Luis, S. V.; Paoli, P.; Ramirez, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 **1994**, 569. (f) Delongchamps, G.; Galan, A.; de Mendoza, J.; Rebek, J., Jr. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1992**, 31, 61. (g) Schiessl, P.; Schmidtchen, F. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 2449. (h) Gleich, P.; Schmidtchen, F. P.; Mikulik, P.; Müller, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 569.

(6) (a) Jung, M. E.; Xia, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 297. (b) Katz, L. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 54, 2179 and references therein. (c) Reetz, M. T.; Niemeyer, C. M.; Harms, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1472. (d) Blanda, M. T.; Horner, J. N.; Newcomb, M. J. Org. Chem. **1989**, 54, 4626. (e) Zheng, Z.; Knobler, C. B.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5105 and references therein. (f) Wuest, J. D.; Zacharie, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4175.

(7) Kaufmann, D. E.; Otten, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 1832

(8) Scheerder, J.; Engbersen, J. F. J.; Casnati, A.; Ungaro, R.; Reinhoudt, D. N. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, 60, 6448.
(9) (a) Sessler, J. L.; Cyr, M.; Furuta, H.; Kral, V.; Mody, T.; Morishima, T.; Mitsuhiko, S.; Weghorn, S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1993**, *65*, 393. (b) Gale, P. A.; Sessler, J. L.; Kral, V.; Lynch, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, *118*, 5140. 5140



Figure 1. An ORTEP view of the crystal structure showing the [1·Br⁻] unit (50% probability ellipsoids). Most of the hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

barbiturates,13 dicarboxylic acids,14 and peptides;15 however, no halide-binding properties have been reported. As part of our studies on hydrogen bonding,¹⁶ we discovered a simple nonpreorganized acyclic halide receptor available in large quantities. Binding is the result of strong N-H···Hal⁻ hydrogen bonding seen in solution by FT-IR and ¹H-NMR spectroscopy and in the solid state by X-ray diffraction. Few structurally characterized N-H···Br⁻ bonds (d(H···Br) < 2.8 Å) have been reported^{17,18} for neutral organic compounds but N-H···Clexamples are more common. We now report the crystal structure of the bromide adduct of the isophthalamide receptor 1 and the halide ion solution binding properties of the more soluble analogue 2.



Diamide 1 was synthesized as previously reported,¹⁹ and 2 was prepared from isophthaloyl dichloride and p-(n-butyl)aniline using a modification of the previous procedure.²⁰

Crystals of 1·([PPh₄]Br)₂, grown by slow diffusion of ether into a solution of 1 and [PPh₄]Br in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C, gave a structure (X-ray diffraction)²¹ that showed 1:1 complexation of Br^{-} to **1** (Figure 1). An extra [PPh₄]Br and a CH₂Cl₂ are also

(10) (a) Scheerder, J.; Fochi, M.; Engbersen, J. F. J.; Reinhoudt, D. N. J. Org. Chem. **1994**, 59, 7815. (b) Scheerder, J.; van Duynhoven, J. P. M.; Engbersen, J. F. J.; Reinhoudt, D. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1090.

(11) (a) Goswami, S.; Hamilton, A. D.; Van Engen, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 3425. (b) Hunter, C. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 749. (c) Pascal, R. A.; Spergel, J.; Van Engen, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4099.

(12) Hamilton, A. D.; Van Engen, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5035. (13) Chang, S. K.; Van Engen, D.; Fan, E.; Hamilton, A. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7640.

(14) Garcia-Tellado, F.; Goswami, S.; Chang, S. K.; Geib, S. J.; Hamilton, A. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7393.

(15) Still, W. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, 29, 155.

(16) (a) Lee, J. C., Jr.; Peris, E.; Rheingold, A. L.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, *116*, 11014. (b) Peris, E.; Lee, J. C., Jr.; Rambo, J.

R.; Eisenstein, O.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3485. (17) Source: Cambridge Crystallographic Database, Version 5.12

Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ. U.K.

(18) (a) Lebioda, L.; Stadnicka, K. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. *Sci.* **1977**, *33*, 2905. (b) De Lucas, L.; Einspahr, H.; Bugg, C. E. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.* **1979**, *35*, 2724. (c) Meulemans, R.; Piret, P.; Van Meersche, M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci. 1971, 27, 1187. (d) Truter, M. R.; Vickery, B. L. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci. 1972, 28, 387.(e) Mak, T. C. W. J. Inclusion Phenom. 1990, 8, 199. (f) Knoch, F.; Appel, R.; Lange, J. Z. Kristallografiya 1994, 209, 914. (g) Elding-Ponten, M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1977, 33, 2905.

(19) Khanna, Y. P.; Pearce, E. M.; Forman, B. D.; Bini, D. A. J. Polym.

(19) Khanna, T. P., Pearce, E. M., Porman, B. D., Bini, D. A. J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed. **1981**, 19, 2799. (20) For characterization data, see: Supporting Information. (21) Colorless prismatic crystal (0.14 × 0.18 × 0.23 mm), C₆₉H₅₈N₂O₂P₂-Br₂Cl₂, fw = 1239,89, triclinic P1 (No. 2), a = 9.379(5) Å, b = 12.823(5)Å, c = 25.412(8) Å, $\alpha = 100.63(3)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 94.43(4)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 101.09(4)^{\circ}$, V = 2927(5) Å³, Z = 2, $R(R_w) = 0.049$ (0.057), GOF = 2.04.

^{(1) (}a) Dietrich, B.; Viout, P.; Lehn, J.-M. Macrocyclic Compounds Chemistry. Aspects of Organic and Inorganic Supramolecular Chemistry; VCH: Weinheim, 1992. (b) Pedersen, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1988**, 27, 1021. (c) Cram, D. J.; Cram, J. M. Science **1974**, 183, 803. (d)



Figure 2. Three-dimensional lattice packing diagram of the [PPh₄]₂-[**1**•Br][Br]•CH₂Cl₂ structure. Methylene chloride has been omitted for clarity.

Table 1. Intermolecular Br⁻ Distances and Angles

			-
atoms	distance (Å)	atoms	angle (deg)
Br1-H2	2.39	Br1-H2-N2	166
Br1-H1	2.68	Br1-H1-N1	172
Br1-H11	3.01	Br1-H11-C13	117
Br1-H3	3.34		
Br1-H12	3.08	planes	dihedral angle (deg)
Br1-N2	3.44	C1-6, N1-C7-O1	29.6
Br1-N1	3.64	C15-20, N2-C14-O2	28.3
Br1-C13	3.58	C8-C13, N1-C7-O1	25.8
		C8-C13, N2-C14-O2	34.9

present, but are not involved in hydrogen bonding (Figure 2). The very unusual twisted *syn-syn* conformation allows **1** to form two N-H···Br hydrogen bonds with one bromide ion. The two amidic bonds are significantly out of the central ring plane (dihedral angles of 25.8° for the N₁-C₇-O₁ plane and 34.9° for the N₂-C₁₄-O₂ plane), presumably due to the large size of the Br⁻. The bromide ion is not coordinated to any other groups on the receptor.²² Electron density found in reasonable positions was assigned to the two N-H hydrogens. After normalization (to N-H = 1.03 Å), Br···H distances of 2.39 and 2.68 Å and N-H···Br angles of 166° and 172° were found, consistent with hydrogen bonding.²³ Selected intermolecular distances and angles are summarized in Table 1.

Comparison with free isophthalamides²⁴ suggests that $N-H\cdots$ Br⁻ hydrogen bonding forces the receptor to adopt the unfavorable *syn-syn* conformation rather than *syn-anti* or *anti-anti*, as also seen by Hamilton et al.²⁵

Table 2. N-H Stretching Frequencies

compound	ν (solution) (cm ⁻¹)	ν (thin film) (cm ⁻¹)
1	3430	3302
2	3430	3281
1 •Br [−]	3231, 3184	3228, 3180
$2 \cdot Br^{-}$	3229, 3175	3232, 3171



The N-H···Br⁻ hydrogen bonding in solution was evident from an FT-IR comparison (Table 2) of **1** and **2**, in both dilute CH₂Cl₂ solutions and in thin films and in the presence or absence of Br⁻. The 228 cm⁻¹ (av) low-energy shift of ν (N-H) in dilute solution is consistent with strong N-H···Br⁻ hydrogen bonding.²³ The IR data in the solid state (thin evaporated film) differ from those in solution for **1** and **2**, but are similar for the adducts, suggesting extensive self-association for the free receptor in the solid state but not in dilute solution.

Compound **1** has very low solubility in CD₂Cl₂, presumably due to extended self-association. Addition of [PPh₄]Br caused immediate solubilization,²⁶ and the ¹H-NMR spectrum showed significant downfield shifts of the N–H and aromatic 2-C–H resonances. Solubility limitations, however, required the use of the more soluble derivative **2** for determining the association constant, K_a . ¹H-NMR data for the complex between **2** and [PPh₄]Br in CD₂Cl₂ again showed a significant downfield concentration-dependent shift for both the N–H ($\Delta\delta$ max of 2.80 ppm) and the aromatic 2-C–H resonances ($\Delta\delta$ max of 0.74 ppm), suggesting that these protons are close to the Br⁻ anion in solution, as seen in the crystal structure.

The K_a for the 2·Hal⁻ complex in CD₂Cl₂ was determined by NMR titration,²⁷ monitoring δ N–H and δ 2-C–H, in a dilute receptor solution in the concentration range of 2.0–5.0 × 10⁻⁴ M, with the addition of 1.0 × 10⁻² and 1.0 × 10⁻¹ M solutions of [PPh₄]Hal in the same receptor concentration, followed by nonlinear regression analysis.²⁸ The K_a values at 19.2 °C (errors ca. 12%, Cl⁻, 3%, Br⁻; 7%, I⁻) were 6.1 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ (Cl⁻), 7.1 × 10³ M⁻¹ (Br⁻), and 4.6 × 10² M⁻¹ (I⁻), equivalent to ΔG_f° values of -26.8 kJ/mol (Cl⁻), -21.6 kJ/ mol (Br⁻), and -14.9 kJ/mol (I⁻). Job plots²⁹ indicated 1:1 complexation.

We have shown that a strong halide binding can be achieved with a simple non-preorganized isophthalamide, synthetically available on a multigram scale.

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor J. P. Caradonna for helpful discussions and suggestions and the NSF for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: Crystallographic X-ray structural data, NMR titration, Job plots, and text including a brief description of experimental methods with characterization data (29 pages). See any current masthead page for ordering and Internet access instructions.

JA964018E

⁽²²⁾ Looney, A.; Parkin, G.; Rheingold, A. L. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 3099.

⁽²³⁾ Jeffrey, G. A.; Saenger, W. Hydrogen Bonding in Biological Structures; Springer: Berlin, 1994.

^{(24) (}a) Yang, J.; Fan, E.; Geib, S. G.; Hamilton, A. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5314. (b) Foresti, E.; Riva di Sanseverino, L.; Sabatino, P. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1986, 42, 220. (25) Geib, S. G.; Vincent, C.; Fan, E.; Hamilton, A. D. Angew. Chem.,

⁽²⁵⁾ Geib, S. G.; Vincent, C.; Fan, E.; Hamilton, A. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 119.

⁽²⁶⁾ For guest effects on host self-assembly, see: Meissner, R. S.; Rebek, J.; de Mendoza, J. *Science* **1995**, *270*, 1485.

^{(27) (}a) Connors, K. A. *Binding Constants*, 1st ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1987; pp 189–215. (b) For experimental details, see: Supporting Information.

⁽²⁸⁾ KaleidaGraph, Version 3.0.2. Synergy Software (PCS Inc.), Reading, PA 19606. Developed by Abelbeck Software Inc.

⁽²⁹⁾ Connors, K. A. *Binding Constants*, 1st ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1987; pp 24–28.